

Statement of Disassociation

This note is of little or no importance, or consequence. It is not much more than a statement to myself, my God, and scant few others. In this alone, it is of enough importance for me to make it.

Though I have at every turn in my life refused to associate myself with any “group”, being of nature and philosophy wholly committed to the cause of the individual, I did in time come to acknowledge the importance of the work of the Tenth Amendment Center.com. So I did at long last identify myself, and commit myself to, the work of a “group.” This has now come to an end, and I will state my reasons as a reminder to myself, my God, and scant few others.

This may be viewed by some as a “throwing the baby out with the bath water” situation. But when the baby is getting stoned in the bath, throw the baby out too I say.

In recent days an individual named Chris Dixon was named (after dues were paid) Maine state coordinator of TAC.com. As one of the initial presentations of himself in this office, he appeared on a Christian-oriented radio show called “The Aroostook Watchmen.” He was announced as “the new Maine state coordinator” of TAC.com, and so was speaking in that office during the interview. At one point in the interview Chris Dixon was asked, “What can we agree on that we can do together?” With innumerable 10th Amendment-centered matters to choose from, that at the forefront of Chris Dixon’s mind was marijuana. He stated unequivocally that he was “fighting for the legalization of marijuana.”

There’s was more to be said about this, but initially I thought at the time that it was important to point out to the newly appointed Maine state coordinator of the TAC.com, and the President of TAC.com Michael Boldin, that perhaps a little “tact” was in order? Being that this was a Christian-oriented radio show, and this was the “first impression” other patriot leaders were receiving of Chris Dixon? Seems fair enough? Seems like a simple matter? Who could argue with that? Well, to my chagrin, Chris Dixon and Michael Boldin could, and did insistently.

Eventually, after being pressed, Chris Dixon did acknowledge in a subtly condescending and dismissive manner, that perhaps “tact” still is an operative word in the universe. I sought nothing more than an acknowledgement of the word “tact”, and reluctantly received a thin and indirect acknowledgement of it from Chris Dixon, the newly appointed Maine state coordinator of TAC.com.

What of the grand illustrious President of TAC.com Michael Boldin? After being equally pressed, and after evasion and inexplicable defensiveness in such words as to question why I was being “secretive”, and such parryings as “I have emails of yours,” Michael Boldin offered these less-than-illustrious and clarifying words, “I never speak of the legalization of marijuana...on a National level.”

The grand illustrious President of TAC.com Michael Boldin was never really asked about what, and where, and when he speaks concerning the “legalization of marijuana” (he “has emails of mine” to prove it), he was asked about “tact.” Simple “tact,” such as that which would be displayed by not suggesting that hosts of a Christian-oriented radio show work for the “legalization of marijuana” with TAC.com (Chris Dixon spoke as a spokesman of TAC.com, and I never received mention from Michael Boldin that he did not, nor that he disagreed in any sense). In all his evasive non-answers, punctuated with accusing me of being “rude” and “disparaging the work of TAC”, the grand illustrious President of TAC.com Michael Boldin never addressed this simple subject of my query, “tact.”

Putting aside what can be made of the less-than-clarifying and evasive mud of the words “rude” and “disparaging”, what can be made of his other words, “I never speak of the legalization of marijuana...on a National level”? Since the topic of my only query concerning “tact” will not ever be addressed by the grand illustrious President of TAC.com Michael Boldin, what can I surmise from this? I must surmise that he is saying that he “does speak of the legalization of marijuana...on a state level.” Is this not a fair, reasonable, and logical conclusion?

Again, since my simple question concerning “tact” will not ever be answered by the grand illustrious President of TAC.com Michael Boldin, perhaps the question now is, “Are TAC.com associates to assume that working for the introduction anti-Fed/DEA legislation at a state level, is akin to, is one and the same as, the fight for ‘the legalization of marijuana’ at a state level?” Cannot one instead pursue some general, overarching, all encompassing legislation denouncing the legitimacy/constitutionality of the Fed DEA, instead of pursuing the “legalization” of this drug, then that one (marijuana in my estimation not only being a “gateway drug”, but also a “gateway hot-button issue”), and calling that “nullification”? Must reluctant TAC.com associates be tainted by a reputation (false or not) of being “pro-marijuana,” or any other drug legalization TAC.com seems to be more than willing to latch onto, and fight for the legalization of thereafter?

I was accused of being “confused” by the grand illustrious President of TAC.com Michael Boldin, but clearly I am not. Clearly stated questions such as these deserve a respectful and mud-free answer from a PRESIDENT, don’t they?

Why is this a concern for me? As a former pot-head myself, I learned one very important lesson...***don’t ever do anything serious and time-consuming with pot-heads!*** ***Exclamation point!*** It will in the end all come to ruin, and smell too. Am I accusing the grand illustrious President of TAC.com Michael Boldin, and newly appointed Maine state TAC.com coordinator Chris Dixon of being pot-heads? No I am not, nor am I not not. It is irrelevant either way in the grander scheme of things, for those who have not learned my aforementioned lesson. But being acquainted with the generally evasive nature of pot-heads, I would say

there are grounds on both counts. For me, the larger concern is the politician-like pandering to the marijuana-induced portion of the populace to be associated with the work of TAC.com. Should I be concerned?

In a recent article by the grand illustrious President of TAC.com Michael Boldin titled "**Freedom in One Word**," I read these words:

"Now that Heath Care legislation has passed, the obvious question for opponents is this: Now What? My answer is best summed up with just one word: Marijuana."

We just finished the opening battles of the most socialist legislation to ever be crammed up the collective ass of America, and I am to think as an associate of TAC.com, "Now What? Just one word: Marijuana"? Does that seem "off color" for any other constitutionalist reading this? Does this bring to remembrance the unalterable wisdom of William Blake to anyone else, "The mind that ALTERS, alters all"?

Clearly this marijuana thing is a very VERY important matter to the grand illustrious President of TAC.com Michael Boldin, is it not? You may (or may not) think "Why"? My mind is clear on the matter. But a much MUCH more important question to ask is, "How necessary is the 'fight to legalize marijuana (on a national, state, planetary, or inter-planetary level)' to the war to re-establish the system of federalism embodied in the 10th Amendment? That which Thomas Jefferson considered the 'ground upon which the foundation of the Constitution is built upon?"

So we have two vital words to consider...TACT and NECESSITY.

Michael Gallucci

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael Gallucci". The signature is stylized and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Focusonthetenth.net

This document was created with Win2PDF available at <http://www.win2pdf.com>.
The unregistered version of Win2PDF is for evaluation or non-commercial use only.
This page will not be added after purchasing Win2PDF.